Schenectady County Community College Nomination Form for: SUNY Chancellor's Award for <u>Excellence in Adjunct Teaching</u>

The Chancellor's Award for Excellence in Adjunct Teaching recognizes consistently superior teaching at the graduate, undergraduate, or professional level in keeping with the State University's commitment to providing its students with instruction of the highest quality.

The primary criterion is skill in teaching.

Eligibility Criteria Specific to the EIAT 2016-2017

- Nominees must be Adjunct Faculty as generally defined by the specific SUNY institution. Individuals serving in full-time professional or academic positions at a SUNY institution are not eligible for this award;
- Nominees must have taught, over the proceeding five years, a total of courses equivalent to one- half of a three-year full-time teaching load in the appropriate department;
- Nominees may also serve in adjunct roles at other educational institutions; and
- Nominees must be employed by the nominating campus at the time of the nomination.

Nominee

Name: Title: Division:

Business Phone Number:			
Submitted by			
Name:			
Title:			
Division:			
Business Phone Number:			

To provide maximum support for your nominee, you are encouraged to provide as many of the following details as possible, providing specifics where feasible.

1. The nominee must perform superbly in educational environments

1.		
2.		
3.		

ı.	
3. 4. -	
5.	
	Mastery of teaching techniques must be demonstrated and substantiated.
1.	
2.	
3. 4.	
4. 5.	
J.	
udent evaluation e nominee) may	luations of students' work must be strongly supported by evidence. When available, s (in the form of student questionnaires administered and compiled by persons other provide a clear idea of the nominee's effectiveness in teaching.
udent evaluation: e nominee) may 1.	s (in the form of student questionnaires administered and compiled by persons other
udent evaluation: e nominee) may 1. 2.	s (in the form of student questionnaires administered and compiled by persons other
udent evaluation: e nominee) may 1. 2. 3.	s (in the form of student questionnaires administered and compiled by persons other
udent evaluation: e nominee) may 1. 2. 3.	s (in the form of student questionnaires administered and compiled by persons other
udent evaluation: e nominee) may 1. 2. 3.	s (in the form of student questionnaires administered and compiled by persons other
udent evaluations e nominee) may 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	s (in the form of student questionnaires administered and compiled by persons other provide a clear idea of the nominee's effectiveness in teaching. ations: Outstanding support for the intellectual growth of individual students;
udent evaluations e nominee) may 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	s (in the form of student questionnaires administered and compiled by persons other provide a clear idea of the nominee's effectiveness in teaching.
udent evaluationse nominee) may 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. Cother Considerse emonstrated use	s (in the form of student questionnaires administered and compiled by persons other provide a clear idea of the nominee's effectiveness in teaching. ations: Outstanding support for the intellectual growth of individual students;
udent evaluations e nominee) may 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 7. Other Considers emonstrated use	s (in the form of student questionnaires administered and compiled by persons other provide a clear idea of the nominee's effectiveness in teaching. ations: Outstanding support for the intellectual growth of individual students; of relevant contemporary data from their discipline(s) in their teaching; evidence of
udent evaluations e nominee) may 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. Cother Considers emonstrated use cholarly and/or w ifferent teaching	s (in the form of student questionnaires administered and compiled by persons other provide a clear idea of the nominee's effectiveness in teaching. ations: Outstanding support for the intellectual growth of individual students; of relevant contemporary data from their discipline(s) in their teaching; evidence of videly recognized experience in the field; number of students per course; and
udent evaluations e nominee) may 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. Cother Considers emonstrated use cholarly and/or w ifferent teaching 1.	s (in the form of student questionnaires administered and compiled by persons other provide a clear idea of the nominee's effectiveness in teaching. ations: Outstanding support for the intellectual growth of individual students; of relevant contemporary data from their discipline(s) in their teaching; evidence of videly recognized experience in the field; number of students per course; and
udent evaluations e nominee) may 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. C Other Considers emonstrated use cholarly and/or w ifferent teaching 1. 2.	s (in the form of student questionnaires administered and compiled by persons other provide a clear idea of the nominee's effectiveness in teaching. ations: Outstanding support for the intellectual growth of individual students; of relevant contemporary data from their discipline(s) in their teaching; evidence of videly recognized experience in the field; number of students per course; and
udent evaluations e nominee) may 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 7. Other Considers emonstrated use cholarly and/or w	s (in the form of student questionnaires administered and compiled by persons other provide a clear idea of the nominee's effectiveness in teaching. ations: Outstanding support for the intellectual growth of individual students; of relevant contemporary data from their discipline(s) in their teaching; evidence of videly recognized experience in the field; number of students per course; and

Narrative of support:

